Support varieties for Lie superalgebras in positive characteristic

Christopher Drupieski, DePaul University Jonathan Kujawa, University of Oklahoma

AMS Fall Central Sectional Meeting, September 14–15, 2019 Special Session on Lie Representation Theory Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra over a field k. What does the cohomology ring $H^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, k) = \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\bullet}(k, k)$ look like?

What does its maximal ideal spectrum $Max(H^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, k))$ look like?

Classical Theorem

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebra. Then $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g},\mathbb{C})$ is an exterior algebra generated in odd degrees.

More generally:

Elementary result

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra over a field k. Then $H^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, k)$ is finite-dimensional, and $H^{i}(\mathfrak{g}, k) = 0$ for $i > \dim_{k}(\mathfrak{g})$.

So $Max(H^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, k))$ is not very interesting in this situation.

Let $k = \overline{k}$ of characteristic p > 0.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional **restricted** Lie algebra over k. So \mathfrak{g} is equipped with a semilinear map $x \mapsto x^{[p]}$ such that $\operatorname{ad}(x)^p = \operatorname{ad}(x^{[p]})$.

Let $V(\mathfrak{g})$ be the restricted enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} (f.d. Hopf algebra).

Friedlander–Parshall (1980s), Suslin–Friedlander–Bendel (1997) Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional restricted Lie algebra over k. Then

$$\mathsf{Max}(\mathsf{H}^{ullet}(V(\mathfrak{g}),\mathbb{C}))\simeq\mathcal{N}_{\rho}(\mathfrak{g})=\left\{x\in\mathfrak{g}:x^{[\rho]}=0
ight\}.$$

 $\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g})$ is the **restricted nullcone** of \mathfrak{g} .

Example

If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$, then $x^{[p]} = x^p$, and $\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g})$ is the variety of *p*-nilpotent matrices. If p > n, then $\mathcal{N}_p(\mathfrak{g})$ is all nilpotent matrices in \mathfrak{g} .

Support varieties

Let A be a Hopf algebra over k such that $H^{\bullet}(A, k)$ is a finitely-generated (graded-commutative) k-algebra.

Cohomological spectrum and support varieties

The cohomological spectrum of A is the affine algebraic variety

$$|A| = \mathsf{Max}\Big(\mathsf{H}^{\bullet}(A,k)\Big).$$

Given an A-module M, let $I_A(M)$ be the kernel of the (k-algebra) map

$$\mathsf{H}^{\bullet}(A,k) = \mathsf{Ext}^{\bullet}_{A}(k,k) \xrightarrow{-\otimes M} \mathsf{Ext}^{\bullet}_{A}(M,M).$$

The cohomological support variety associated to M is

$$|A|_{M} = \mathsf{Max}\left(\mathsf{H}^{\bullet}(A,k)/I_{A}(M)\right),$$

which is a closed conical subvariety of |A|.

Friedlander-Parshall, Suslin-Friedlander-Bendel

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional restricted Lie algebra over k, and let M be a finite-dimensional restricted \mathfrak{g} -module. Then

$$|V(\mathfrak{g})| \simeq \mathcal{N}_{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}) = \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{g} : x^{[p]} = 0 \right\},$$

 $|V(\mathfrak{g})|_{\mathcal{M}} \simeq \left\{ x \in \mathcal{N}_{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}) : \mathcal{M}|_{\langle x \rangle} \text{ is not free} \right\} \cup \{0\}$

Moreover, $|V(\mathfrak{g})|_{M} = \{0\}$ if and only if M is projective for $V(\mathfrak{g})$.

For $x \in \mathcal{N}_{\rho}(\mathfrak{g})$, $M|_{\langle x \rangle}$ is restriction to algebra of the form $k[x]/(x^{\rho})$.

General result

Let A be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra over k. Suppose $H^{\bullet}(A, k)$ is a finitely-generated k-algebra, and suppose for all f.d. A-modules M that $Ext^{\bullet}_{A}(M, M)$ is a finite $H^{\bullet}(A, k)$ -module. Then

 $|A|_{M} = \{0\} \iff M \text{ is projective.}$

A Lie superalgebra is a vector superspace $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$ equipped with an even bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ such that for all homogeneous elements $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$,

1.
$$[x, y] = -(-1)^{\overline{x} \cdot \overline{y}} [y, x]$$

2. $[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] + (-1)^{\overline{x} \cdot \overline{y}} [y, [x, z]]$
3. $[x, x] = 0$ if $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$ and char $(k) = 2$
4. $[[y, y], y] = 0$ if $y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$ and char $(k) = 3$

So in particular, $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$ is a Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$ is a $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}\text{-module}.$

 \mathfrak{g} is a **restricted** Lie superalgebra if additionally $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$ is a restricted Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$ is a restricted $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$ -module.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional Lie **super**algebra over a field k.

What does its maximal ideal spectrum $Max(H^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, k))$ look like?

One extreme

Suppose $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$ is a purely odd abelian Lie superalgebra. Then $U(\mathfrak{g}) = \Lambda(\mathfrak{g})$, and $H^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, k) = H^{\bullet}(\Lambda(\mathfrak{g}), k) \cong S(\mathfrak{g}^*)$.

Another extreme in characteristic 0

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$, so that $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}} = \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n$. If $m \ge n$, then the inclusion $\mathfrak{gl}_m \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ induces $H^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{gl}_m, \mathbb{C})$. In particular, $H^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$ is a finite-dimensional exterior algebra.

So at least in characteristic 0, the cohomology ring $H^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, k)$ is not going to lead to an interesting support variety theory.

Two alternate approaches in characteristic 0

- Boe, Kujawa, & Nakano (2009–2017): Developed extensive variety theory based on the relative cohomology ring H[●](g, g₀; C) when g is a classical Lie superalgebra.
- Duflo & Serganova (2005): For a (f.d.) g-supermodule M, defined (without reference to cohomology) the associated variety

 $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M) = \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}} : [x, x] = 0 \text{ and } M|_{\langle x \rangle} \text{ is not free} \right\} \cup \{0\},$

a subvariety of the odd nullcone

$$\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}(k) = \{ x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}} : [x, x] = 0 \}.$$

These variety theories capture (complementary) aspects of module theory in the category \mathcal{F} of finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -supermodules that are completely reducible over $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$.

The superexterior algebra $\Lambda_s(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is the free anti-(super)commutative algebra generated by \mathfrak{g}^* . As a superspace,

 $\Lambda_{S}(\mathfrak{g}^{*})\cong \Lambda(\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}^{*})\otimes S(\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}^{*}).$

As an algebra, the factors $\Lambda(\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}^*)$ and $S(\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}^*)$ anti-commute.

The Lie bracket on \mathfrak{g} is a map $[\cdot, \cdot] : \Lambda_s^2(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{g}$. Its transpose defines a map $\mathfrak{g}^* \to \Lambda_s^2(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, which extends to a derivation $\partial : \Lambda_s(\mathfrak{g}^*) \to \Lambda_s(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. Then $H^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, k) = H^{\bullet}(\Lambda_s(\mathfrak{g}^*), \partial)$.

Characteristic $p \ge 3$

The *p*-th powers in $S(\mathfrak{g}_{1}^{*})$ are cocycles for ∂ . Then we get a map

$$\varphi: S(\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}^*)^{(1)} \to \mathsf{H}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, k).$$

Let $k = \overline{k}$ of characteristic p > 3. $|U(\mathfrak{q})| = Max(H^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{q}, k))$ $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}(k) = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}} : [x, x] = 0\}$ odd nullcone $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M) = \{ x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}} : [x, x] = 0 \text{ and } M|_{\langle x \rangle} \text{ is not free} \} \cup \{ 0 \}$ Drupieski–Kujawa (J. Algebra, 2019) The map $\varphi: S(\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}^*)^{(1)} \to H^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, k)$ induces a homeomorphism $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{q}}(k) \simeq |U(\mathfrak{g})|.$ Under this identification, get for finite-dimensional g-module M $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M) \subseteq |U(\mathfrak{g})|_{M}$.

Question until recently: Is the inclusion $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M) \subseteq |U(\mathfrak{g})|_{M}$ an equality?

Let $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}\oplus\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$ be a Lie superalgebra.

The $Clifford\ filtration\ on\ \mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie superalgebra filtration

$$0 = F^0 \mathfrak{g} \subseteq F^1 \mathfrak{g} \subseteq F^2 \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}$$

defined by $F^1\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$. The associated graded algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ satisfies:

- $\cdot \ \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1 \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_2$
- $\cdot \ \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1 \cong \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}} \text{ and } \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_2 \cong \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}} \text{ as superspaces}$
- + $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_2$ is central in $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$
- The Lie bracket [·, ·] : ĝ₁ ⊗ ĝ₁ → ĝ₂ identifies with the original Lie bracket [·, ·] : g₁ ⊗ g₁ → g₀. In particular, X_g(k) ≅ X_ğ(k).

So $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is simpler in structure, but it still retains information relevant to the varieties $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}(k)$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M)$.

Relating ${\mathfrak g}$ and $\widetilde{{\mathfrak g}}$

Clifford filtration spectral sequence

Let M be a finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -supermodule, and let N be a finitely-generated \mathfrak{g} -supermodule, equipped with 'standard' filtrations. Then there exists a spectral sequence

$$\mathsf{E}_{1}^{i,j}(M,N) = \mathsf{Ext}_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}}^{i+j}(\widetilde{M},\widetilde{N})_{-i} \Rightarrow \mathsf{Ext}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{i+j}(M,N),$$

where \widetilde{M} and \widetilde{N} are the associated graded $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -supermodules.

Studying this spectral sequence, we prove:

Proposition

Let *M* and *N* be as above. Then:

- $\operatorname{Ext}_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\bullet}(\widetilde{M},\widetilde{N})$ is a finite $\operatorname{H}^{\bullet}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}},k)$ -module.
- $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\bullet}(M, N)$ is a finite $\operatorname{H}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, k)$ -module.

Know $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M) \subseteq |U(\mathfrak{g})|_{M}$. Want to show this is an equality. Prove it first for $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, then relate $\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\widetilde{M}) = |U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})|_{\widetilde{M}}$ back to $|U(\mathfrak{g})|_{M}$.

Implementing the strategy

1. Showing that
$$\mathcal{X}_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\widetilde{M}) = |U(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}})|_{\widetilde{M}}$$
.

Replace $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ with a related *p*-nilpotent **restricted** Lie superalgebra. Then $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \twoheadrightarrow V(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ induces $|U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})|_{\widetilde{M}} \hookrightarrow |V(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})|_{\widetilde{M}}$. By previous work,

$$|V(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}})|_{\widetilde{M}} \simeq \left\{ (\alpha, \beta) \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0 \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1 : \alpha^{[p]} = \frac{1}{2} [\beta, \beta], \operatorname{projdim}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(\nu^* M) = \infty \right\},$$

where
$$\mathbb{P}_1 = k[u, v]/(u^p + v^2)$$
 and $\nu(u) = \alpha$, $\nu(v) = \beta$.

2. Problem: $\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\widetilde{M})$ is usually larger than $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M)$.

Get around this by first reducing to the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$, $M = k^{m|n}$. The $GL_m \times GL_n$ -orbit structure of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)}(k)$ is easy, and we just have to rule out certain orbit representatives from $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)}(k^{m|n})$. For each orbit, consider a different 'standard' filtration on M. $k = \overline{k}$ of characteristic $p \ge 3$.

Main Theorem

Let M be a finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -supermodule. Then

 $\left| U(\mathfrak{g}) \right|_{M} \simeq \mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M) = \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}} : [x, x] = 0 \text{ and } M \right|_{\langle x \rangle} \text{ is not free} \right\} \cup \left\{ 0 \right\}.$

Main Application (cf. results of Bøgvad, 1984) Let *M* be a finite-dimensional g-supermodule. Then

$$\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M) = \{0\} \iff \operatorname{projdim}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(M) < \infty.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}(k) = \{0\}$ if and only if $\operatorname{\mathsf{gldim}}(U(\mathfrak{g})) < \infty$.

Proof: If $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M) = 0$, then for all f.g. *N*, one gets $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{i}(M, N)$ for $i \gg 0$, but a priori the vanishing range may depend on *N*. However, $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is module finite over a large central subring. Now apply an argument of Avramov and Iyengar to deduce that $\operatorname{projdim}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(M) < \infty$.

Special thanks to Ian Musson for his book *Lie Superalgebras and Enveloping Algebras*.