

Some quantum analogues of results from Lie algebra cohomology

Christopher M. Drupieski
University of Georgia

2011 Fall Central Section AMS Meeting
Special Session on Quantum Groups &
Representation Theory

October 15, 2011



Motivating Problem

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebra.

- Compute the Lie algebra cohomology ring $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) = \text{Ext}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}^\bullet(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$.
 - Given f.d. irreducible \mathfrak{g} -modules V and W , compute $\text{Ext}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}^\bullet(V, W)$.
-
- Lie group analogue solved using topological methods by 1940.
 - Purely algebraic proofs appear by 1950, make critical use of the Koszul complex $\Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ for Lie algebra cohomology.

$\Lambda^n(\mathfrak{g}^*) \cong$ space of n -multilinear alternating maps on \mathfrak{g}



Theorem (Chevalley–Eilenberg 1946, Koszul 1950)

Let $V \not\cong W$ be finite-dimensional irreducible \mathfrak{g} -modules.

- $\text{Ext}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}^\bullet(V, W) = 0$.
- $\text{Ext}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}^\bullet(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) = H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) \cong \Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is an exterior algebra.

Example (Type A_n , $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$)

$\Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}), \mathbb{C})$ is generated in degrees $3, 5, 7, \dots, 2n + 1$.

The degree r generator is represented by the function

$$\Phi_r(X_1, \dots, X_r) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_r} (-1)^{\text{sgn}(\sigma)} \text{tr}(X_{\sigma(1)} \circ \dots \circ X_{\sigma(r)}).$$



Degrees of generators for $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$:

Type	Degrees
A_r	$3, 5, 7, \dots, 2r + 1$
B_r	$3, 7, 11, \dots, 4r - 1$
C_r	$3, 7, 11, \dots, 4r - 1$
D_r ($r \geq 4$)	$3, 7, 11, \dots, 4r - 5, 2r - 1$
E_6	$3, 9, 11, 15, 17, 23$
E_7	$3, 11, 15, 19, 23, 27, 35$
E_8	$3, 15, 23, 27, 35, 39, 47, 59$
F_4	$3, 11, 15, 23$
G_2	$3, 11$



Problem

Consider the quantized enveloping algebra $U_q = U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ associated to \mathfrak{g} .

- If $V \not\cong W$ are irreducible U_q -modules, is $\text{Ext}_{U_q}^\bullet(V, W) = 0$?
- Is $\text{Ext}_{U_q}^\bullet(\mathbb{C}(q), \mathbb{C}(q)) = H^\bullet(U_q, \mathbb{C}(q))$ an exterior algebra?

Complete reducibility of finite-dimensional representations still holds, but we have no quantum version of the Koszul complex $\Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, so no hope of ripping off the classical arguments.



Theorem

Let $V \not\cong W$ be f.d. irreducible U_q -modules. Then $\text{Ext}_{U_q}^\bullet(V, W) = 0$.

Strategy (borrowed from Kumar's work on Kac–Moody Lie algebras):

- Turn Ext-vanishing condition into a Tor-vanishing condition.
- Reduce to vanishing of Tor between certain Verma modules.



- Involution $\omega : U_q \rightarrow U_q$ that interchanges positive and negative roots and acts like the antipode S on U_q^0 . Then for V, W f.d. irreducible,

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{U_q}^\bullet(V, W) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_{U_q}^\bullet(V, {}^\omega(W^*)) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_{\bullet}^{U_q}(({}^\omega V)^{S^{-1}}, W)^*$$

- Since $V \not\cong W$, they're in different blocks of the BGG category \mathcal{O}^q . Now show: If M and N are modules in different blocks of \mathcal{O}^q , then

$$\mathrm{Tor}_{\bullet}^{U_q}(({}^\omega M)^{S^{-1}}, N) = 0.$$

- Use long exact sequences for Tor to reduce to the case when M and N are Verma modules in different blocks of \mathcal{O}^q .



Let $M(\lambda), M(\mu)$ be Verma modules in different blocks of \mathcal{O}^q . So $\lambda \neq \mu$.

As a $U_q(\mathfrak{b}^+)$ -module, ${}^\omega M(\lambda) \cong U_q(\mathfrak{b}^+) \otimes_{U_q^0} k_{-\lambda}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tor}_{\bullet}^{U_q}(({}^\omega M(\lambda))^{S^{-1}}, M(\mu)) &= \mathrm{Tor}_{\bullet}^{U_q}(({}^\omega M(\lambda))^{S^{-1}}, U_q \otimes_{U_q(\mathfrak{b}^+)} k_{\mu}) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Tor}_{\bullet}^{U_q(\mathfrak{b}^+)}(({}^\omega M(\lambda))^{S^{-1}}, k_{\mu}) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Tor}_{\bullet}^{U_q(\mathfrak{b}^+)}(k, {}^\omega M(\lambda) \otimes k_{\mu}) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Tor}_{\bullet}^{U_q(\mathfrak{b}^+)}(k, U_q(\mathfrak{b}^+) \otimes_{U_q^0} k_{\mu-\lambda}) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Tor}_{\bullet}^{U_q^0}(k, k_{\mu-\lambda}) \end{aligned}$$

Exercise: $\mathrm{Tor}_{\bullet}^{U_q^0}(k, k_{\mu-\lambda})^* = \mathrm{Ext}_{U_q^0}^{\bullet}(k, k_{\lambda-\mu}) = 0$. (Use $U_q^0 \cong k\mathbb{Z}^n$.)



Theorem

The cohomology ring $H^\bullet(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), \mathbb{C}(q))$ is an exterior algebra over a graded subspace concentrated in the same odd degrees as for $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Notation

- A : the localization of $\mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]$ at the maximal ideal $(q - 1)$
 - U_A : the A -subalgebra of U_q generated by simple root vectors
 - U_A is an integral form for U_q : $U_A \otimes_A \mathbb{C}(q) = U_q$
 - $U_1 := U_A \otimes_A \mathbb{C}_1 \cong U_A / (q - 1)U_A$, where $\mathbb{C}_1 = A / (q - 1)A$
-
- Fact: U_1 is a central extension of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ by $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r$.
 - Consequence: $H^\bullet(U_1, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^\bullet(U(\mathfrak{g}), \mathbb{C})$.



- Split exact sequence from the universal coefficient theorem:

$$0 \rightarrow H^n(U_A, A) \otimes_A \mathbb{C}_1 \xrightarrow{i} H^n(U(\mathfrak{g}), \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^A(H^{n+1}(U_A, A), \mathbb{C}_1) \rightarrow 0.$$

- $H^\bullet(U_q, \mathbb{C}(q)) \cong H^\bullet(U_A, A) \otimes_A \mathbb{C}(q)$, so

$$\dim H^\bullet(U_q, \mathbb{C}(q)) \leq \dim H^\bullet(U_q, A) \otimes_A \mathbb{C}_1 \leq \dim H^\bullet(U(\mathfrak{g}), \mathbb{C}).$$

- Key step in the argument is to show that the latter two dimensions are equal, that is, that i is an isomorphism. Since A is a local PID, this is equivalent to showing that $H^\bullet(U_A, A)$ is A -free. From this it quickly follows that $H^\bullet(U_A, A)$ and $H^\bullet(U_q, \mathbb{C}(q))$ are exterior algebras.



$$0 \rightarrow H^n(U_A, A) \otimes_A \mathbb{C}_1 \xrightarrow{i} H^n(U(\mathfrak{g}), \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^A(H^{n+1}(U_A, A), \mathbb{C}_1) \rightarrow 0$$

Example (Type A_2)

$H^\bullet(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \mathbb{C})$ is generated in degrees 3, 5, nonzero in degrees

$$0, 3, 5, 8.$$

$H^n(U(\mathfrak{g}), \mathbb{C}) = 0$ for $n = 4, 6$, so i is surjective for $n = 3, 5$.

Then i is surjective for all n , so we get that $H^\bullet(U_A, A)$ is A -free.

This argument also applies for types $A_1, B_2, E_7, E_8, F_4, G_2$.



$$0 \rightarrow H^n(U_A, A) \otimes_A \mathbb{C}_1 \xrightarrow{i} H^n(U(\mathfrak{g}), \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^A(H^{n+1}(U_A, A), \mathbb{C}_1) \rightarrow 0$$

Example (Type A_3)

$H^\bullet(\mathfrak{sl}_4, \mathbb{C})$ is generated in degrees 3, 5, 7, nonzero in degrees

$$0, 3, 5, 7, 8, 10, 12, 15.$$

Commutative square induced by the inclusion of Dynkin diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^8(U_A(\mathfrak{sl}_4), A) \otimes_A \mathbb{C}_1 & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^8(U(\mathfrak{sl}_4), \mathbb{C}) \\ \downarrow \text{res} \otimes_A 1 & & \downarrow \text{res} \\ H^8(U_A(\mathfrak{sl}_3), A) \otimes_A \mathbb{C}_1 & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^8(U(\mathfrak{sl}_3), \mathbb{C}). \end{array}$$

So $\text{res} : H^8(U_A(\mathfrak{sl}_4), A) \rightarrow H^8(U_A(\mathfrak{sl}_3), A)$ is onto by Nakayama's Lemma, and hence $H^8(U_A(\mathfrak{sl}_4), A)$ is A -free of the same rank by dim. comparison.

Theorem

Let $U_q = U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the quantized enveloping algebra associated to \mathfrak{g} .

- If $V \not\cong W$ are irreducible U_q -modules, then $\text{Ext}_{U_q}^\bullet(V, W) = 0$.
- $\text{Ext}_{U_q}^\bullet(\mathbb{C}(q), \mathbb{C}(q)) = H^\bullet(U_q, \mathbb{C}(q))$ is an exterior algebra, generated in the same odd degrees as $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$.

Further directions:

- Specialize parameter q to $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}$ and compute $H^\bullet(U_\varepsilon, \mathbb{C})$?
Have $\dim H^\bullet(U_\varepsilon, \mathbb{C}) = \dim H^\bullet(U_q, \mathbb{C})$ for almost all $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}^\times$.
Can show true for some large roots of 1. For what $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}$ does it fail?
- Consider parabolic and Levi subalgebras $U_q(\mathfrak{p}_J)$ and $U_q(\mathfrak{l}_J)$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$.
Problem: $\mathfrak{l}_J = [\mathfrak{l}_J, \mathfrak{l}_J] \oplus \mathfrak{z}_J$, but no similar decomposition of $U_q(\mathfrak{l}_J)$.
Also: $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{l}_J, \mathbb{C})$ is generated by elements in adjacent degrees.

