

# Some graded analogues of one-parameter subgroups and applications to the cohomology of $GL_{m|n}(r)$

---

Christopher M. Drupieski, DePaul University

Joint work with Jonathan Kujawa, University of Oklahoma

Mathematical Congress of the Americas 2017, Session on Cohomology of Groups

Work over a field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . (Assume  $k = \bar{k}$  and  $p \geq 3$ .)

$\mathbb{G}_a$  – additive group scheme

$$\mathbb{G}_a(A) = (A, +)$$

$\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$  –  $r$ -th Frobenius kernel of  $\mathbb{G}_a$

$$\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}(A) = \{t \in A : t^{p^r} = 0\}$$

### Infinitesimal one-parameter subgroups

Given an affine group scheme  $G$ , an infinitesimal one-parameter subgroup of height  $\leq r$  in  $G$  is a group scheme homomorphism

$$\nu : \mathbb{G}_{a(r)} \rightarrow G.$$

The set of all such homomorphisms is denoted  $V_r(G)$ .

$$V_r(G) = \mathbf{Hom}_{\text{Grp}}(\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}, G)$$

The set  $V_r(G)$  admits the structure of an affine variety.

Let  $A$  be an augmented  $k$ -algebra. Suppose  $H^\bullet(A, k) = \text{Ext}_A^\bullet(k, k)$  is “commutative” and finitely generated.

### Cohomological spectrum and support varieties

The **cohomological spectrum** of  $A$  is the affine algebraic variety

$$|A| = \text{MaxSpec} \left( H^\bullet(A, k) \right).$$

Given an  $A$ -module  $M$ , let  $I_A(M)$  be the kernel of the map

$$H^\bullet(A, k) = \text{Ext}_A^\bullet(k, k) \xrightarrow{-\otimes M} \text{Ext}_A^\bullet(M, M).$$

The **cohomological support variety** associated to  $M$  is

$$|A|_M = \text{MaxSpec} \left( H^\bullet(A, k) / I_A(M) \right),$$

a closed subvariety of the cohomological spectrum.

In reasonable settings, support varieties detect projectivity.

### Suslin–Friedlander–Bendel (1997)

Let  $G$  be an infinitesimal group scheme of height  $\leq r$ , and let  $kG$  be its group ring ( $kG = k[G]^\#$ ). Then there exists a homeomorphism

$$|kG| \cong V_r(G) = \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathit{Grp}}(\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}, G)$$

For  $G = GL_{n(r)}$ , the  $r$ -th Frobenius kernel of  $GL_n$ , one has

$$V_r(GL_{n(r)}) = \{(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}) \in \mathfrak{gl}_n^{\times r} : \alpha_i^p = 0, [\alpha_i, \alpha_j] = 0, \forall i, j\}.$$

If  $G$  is an arbitrary infinitesimal group scheme of height  $\leq r$ , then there exists a closed embedding  $G \hookrightarrow GL_{n(r)}$  for some  $n$ .

If  $\nu : \mathbb{G}_{a(r)} \rightarrow G$  is a one-parameter subgroup, and if  $M$  is a rational  $G$ -module, then  $M$  pulls back to a rational  $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$ -module,  $\nu^*(M)$ .

Equivalently,  $\nu^*(M)$  is a module over the group algebra

$$k\mathbb{G}_{a(r)} = k[\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}]^\# = k[u_0, \dots, u_{r-1}]/(u_0^p, \dots, u_{r-1}^p).$$

### Suslin–Friedlander–Bendel (1997)

Let  $G$  be infinitesimal of height  $\leq r$ . If  $M$  is a finite-dimensional rational  $G$ -module, then

$$|kG|_M \cong \{ \nu \in V_r(G) : \nu^*(M) \text{ is not free over } k[u_{r-1}]/(u_{r-1}^p) \}.$$

So, for example, the projectivity of  $M$  can be detected by restrictions along various  $\nu$  to algebras of the form  $k[u]/(u^p)$ .

## Our motivating question

(How) can this be generalized to supergroups?

## Wikipedia definition of a supergroup

A **supergroup** is a music group whose members are already successful as solo artists or as part of other groups or well known in other musical professions.

## What do we mean by “super”?

An object is “super” if it is appropriately graded by  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\}$ .

- Super vector spaces  $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$
- $V \otimes W \cong W \otimes V$  via the **supertwist**  $v \otimes w \mapsto (-1)^{\bar{v} \cdot \bar{w}} w \otimes v$

Define (Hopf) superalgebras and ‘super’ (co)commutativity in terms of the “usual diagrams,” but use the supertwist when objects pass.

## Examples of Hopf superalgebras

- Ordinary Hopf algebras (as purely even superalgebras)
- $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Hopf algebras in the sense of Milnor and Moore
- Enveloping superalgebras of (restricted) Lie superalgebras

## Exterior algebra of a vector space $V$

Reduce the natural  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on  $\Lambda(V)$  modulo 2 to view  $\Lambda(V)$  as a superspace. Then  $\Lambda(V)$  is a commutative superalgebra:

$$ab = (-1)^{\bar{a}\cdot\bar{b}}ba$$

It is also a cocommutative Hopf superalgebra:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(v^2) &= \Delta(v)\Delta(v) \\ &= (v \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes v)(v \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes v) \\ &= (v^2 \otimes 1) + (v \otimes 1)(1 \otimes v) + (1 \otimes v)(v \otimes 1) + (1 \otimes v^2) \\ &= (v^2 \otimes 1) + (v \otimes v) - (v \otimes v) + (1 \otimes v^2) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

The super sign convention is precisely what is needed to ensure that the coproduct preserves the defining algebra relation.



## Defining correspondences

affine **supergroup** schemes  $\overset{\text{anti}}{\longleftrightarrow}$  commutative Hopf **superalgebras**

$$G \longleftrightarrow k[G]$$

finite **supergroup** schemes  $\longleftrightarrow$  f.d. cocommut. Hopf **superalgebras**

$$G \longleftrightarrow kG = k[G]^\#$$

## Cautionary example

Let  $A = k[u, v]/\langle u^p, v^2 \rangle$  with  $\bar{u} = \bar{0}$  and  $\bar{v} = \bar{1}$ .

Then  $A$  is a Hopf superalgebra with  $u$  and  $v$  both primitive. (In fact,  $A$  is the restricted enveloping algebra of a res. Lie superalgebra.)

Define  $M$  to be the  $A$ -supermodule with homogeneous basis

$$\{x_0, \dots, x_{p-1}, y_0, \dots, y_{p-1}\}, \quad x_i \text{ even}, \quad y_i \text{ odd},$$

such that  $u.x_i = x_{i+1}$ ,  $u.y_i = y_{i+1}$ ,  $v.x_i = y_{i+1}$ , and  $v.y_i = x_{i+p-1}$ .

**Claim:**  $M$  is projective over all proper cyclic subalgebras of  $A$ , but is not projective over  $A$  itself. So in contrast to the classical theory, need more than just cyclic subalgebras to detect projectivity.

**What supergroups play the role of  $\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$  in the super theory?** These will be our graded analogues of one-parameter subgroups.

Let  $f = T^{p^t} + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} a_i T^{p^i} \in k[T]$  be a  $p$ -polynomial (no linear term).

Let  $\eta \in k$  be a scalar.

### The infinitesimal multiparameter supergroup $\mathbb{M}_{r,f,\eta}$

$$k\mathbb{M}_{r,f,\eta} = k[u_0, \dots, u_{r-1}, v] / \langle u_0^p, \dots, u_{r-2}^p, u_{r-1}^p + v^2, f(u_{r-1}) + \eta u_0 \rangle$$

$u_0, \dots, u_{r-1}$  are even; their coproducts look like they do in  $k\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}$

$u_{r-1}^p$  is primitive

$v$  is an odd primitive generator

hence  $u_{r-1}^p + v^2$  and  $f(u_{r-1}) + \eta u_0$  are primitive

Our result: use these supergroups to generalize the SFB calculation

$$|GL_n(r)| \cong V_r(GL_n(r)) = \mathbf{Hom}_{\text{Grp}}(\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}, GL_n(r)).$$

Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , and let  $A = A_{\bar{0}} \oplus A_{\bar{1}}$  be a commutative superalgebra.

$\text{Mat}_{m|n}(A)_{\bar{0}}$  consists of all block matrices

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ T_3 & T_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $T_1 \in M_{m \times m}(A_{\bar{0}})$ ,  $T_2 \in M_{m \times n}(A_{\bar{1}})$ ,  $T_3 \in M_{n \times m}(A_{\bar{1}})$ ,  $T_4 \in M_{n \times n}(A_{\bar{0}})$ , while in  $\text{Mat}_{m|n}(A)_{\bar{1}}$  the parities of the entries are reversed.

### General linear supergroup $GL_{m|n}$ and its Frobenius kernels

For each commutative superalgebra  $A$ ,  $GL_{m|n}(A)$  is the group of all invertible matrices in  $\text{Mat}_{m|n}(A)_{\bar{0}}$ .

$GL_{m|n}(r)$  is the scheme-theoretic kernel of the map that raises each individual matrix entry to the  $p^r$ -th power.

Ambient superscheme (analogue of the commuting variety for  $GL_n$ )

$$V_r(GL_{m|n})(A) = \left\{ (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}, \beta) \in (\text{Mat}_{m|n}(A)_{\bar{0}})^{\times r} \times \text{Mat}_{m|n}(A)_{\bar{1}} : \right. \\ \left. [\alpha_i, \alpha_j] = [\alpha_i, \beta] = 0 \text{ for all } 0 \leq i, j \leq r-1, \right. \\ \left. \alpha_i^p = 0 \text{ for all } 0 \leq i \leq r-2, \text{ and } \alpha_{r-1}^p + \beta^2 = 0 \right\}.$$

### Lemma

Homomorphisms  $\rho : \mathbb{M}_{r,f,\eta} \otimes_k A \rightarrow GL_{m|n} \otimes_k A$  correspond to points in the closed sub-superscheme

$$V_{r,f,\eta}(GL_{m|n})(A) = \\ \left\{ (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}, \beta) \in V_r(GL_{m|n})(A) : f(\alpha_{r-1}) + \eta\alpha_0 = 0 \right\}.$$

Note that  $V_r(GL_{m|n})(k) = \bigcup_{f,\eta} V_{r,f,\eta}(GL_{m|n})(k)$ .

## Following SFB to relate $V_r(GL_{m|n})$ to $|GL_{m|n}(r)|$

1. Explicitly calculated the images of certain “universal extension classes” under the maps in cohomology

$$\rho_{(\underline{\alpha}|\beta)}^* : H^\bullet(GL_{m|n}(r), k) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathbb{M}_{r,f,\eta}, k)$$

corresponding to homomorphisms  $\rho_{(\underline{\alpha}|\beta)} : \mathbb{M}_{r,f,\eta} \rightarrow GL_{m|n}(r)$ .

### The cohomology rings $H^\bullet(\mathbb{M}_{r,f,\eta}, k)$ have nice descriptions

– For  $f = T^p$  and  $\eta = 0$ , one has

$$H^\bullet(\mathbb{M}_{r,T^p,0}, k) \cong k[x_1, \dots, x_r, y] \otimes \Lambda(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r),$$

– If  $f = T^{p^s}$  with  $s \geq 2$ , then

$$H^\bullet(\mathbb{M}_{r,T^{p^s},0}, k) \cong k[x_1, \dots, x_r, y, w_s] / \langle x_r - y^2 \rangle \otimes \Lambda(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r).$$

## Following SFB to relate $V_r(GL_{m|n})$ to $|GL_{m|n(r)}|$

2. Then able to construct algebra homomorphisms

$$k[V_r(GL_{m|n})] \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}} H(GL_{m|n(r)}, k) \xrightarrow{\psi_{r,f,\eta}} k[V_{r,f,\eta}(GL_{m|n})]$$

such that  $H(G, k) := \mathbf{H}^{even}(G, k)_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathbf{H}^{odd}(G, k)_{\bar{1}}$  is finite over  $\bar{\phi}$ .

3. Get induced morphisms of varieties

$$\Theta_{r,f,\eta} : V_{r,f,\eta}(GL_{m|n})(k) \xrightarrow{\Psi_{r,f,\eta}} |GL_{m|n(r)}| \xrightarrow{\Phi} V_r(GL_{m|n})(k)$$

Showed that  $\Theta_{r,f,\eta}$  is the Frobenius morphism composed with the natural inclusion. The  $V_{r,f,\eta}(GL_{m|n})(k)$  cover  $V_r(GL_{m|n})(k)$ , so...

### Corollary

There is a finite surjective morphism of varieties

$$|GL_{m|n(r)}| \rightarrow V_r(GL_{m|n})(k).$$

# Open Problems

## Problem

What is the correct coordinate-free approach?

— SFB looked at  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Grp}}(\mathbb{G}_{a(r)}, G)$

—  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Grp}}(\mathbb{M}_{r; \mathbb{T}P^s, 0}, \mathbb{M}_{r; \mathbb{T}P^s, 0})$  already seems too big

## Problem

Can you detect projectivity of modules, or nilpotence of cohomology classes, by looking at restrictions to multiparameter supergroups...