# Second cohomology for finite groups of Lie type

### Christopher M. Drupieski

University of Georgia  $\Rightarrow$  DePaul University

August 4, 2012

Christopher M. Drupieski Second cohomology for finite groups of Lie type

References:

University of Georgia VIGRE Algebra Group, *Second cohomology for finite groups of Lie type*, J. Algebra **360** (2012), 21–52.

\_\_\_\_\_, First cohomology for finite groups of Lie type: Simple modules with small dominant weights, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.

Ground rules:

- k algebraically closed field of characteristic p > 0
- G simple, simply-connected algebraic group scheme over k
- T maximal torus of G
- B Borel subgroup of G containing T
- *U* unipotent radical of *B*
- $F: G \rightarrow G$  standard Frobenius morphism on G
- $G(\mathbb{F}_q) = G^{F^r}$  finite subgroup of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points in G,  $q = p^r$
- $G_r = \ker(F^r)$  scheme-theoretic *r*-th Frobenius kernel of *G*

## Example: The Special Linear Group

- $G = SL_n(k)$
- T diagonal matrices in G
- B lower triangular matrices in G
- U lower triangular unipotent matrices in G
- $F:(a_{ij})\mapsto (a_{ij}^p)$
- $G(\mathbb{F}_q) = SL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$
- For each commutative k-algebra A,

$$(SL_n)_r(A) = \left\{ (a_{ij}) \in SL_n(A) : (a_{ij}^{p^r}) = \text{ the identity matrix} \right\}.$$

 $(SL_n)_r(A)$  is a nontrivial group if and only if A contains nilpotents.

#### The Goal

Find  $H^1(G(\mathbb{F}_q), V)$  and  $H^2(G(\mathbb{F}_q), V)$  for V an irreducible  $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -module.

Subgoals (i.e., what people have actually managed to do):

- Compute for V in various classes of irreducible  $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -modules
- Determine sufficient conditions for the cohomology groups to vanish
- Compute under restrictions on p and q (specific small values, or  $\gg 0$ )

### **Refined Goal**

# Relate $H^1(G(\mathbb{F}_q), V)$ and $H^2(G(\mathbb{F}_q), V)$ to rational cohomology for G.

### **Refined Goal**

Relate  $H^1(G(\mathbb{F}_q), V)$  and  $H^2(G(\mathbb{F}_q), V)$  to rational cohomology for G.

Why this is reasonable and desirable:

- The irreducible  $kG(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -modules all lift to rational *G*-modules.
- More machinery available for dealing with rational G-cohomology.
- Rational *G*-modules carry more information: Every rational *G*-module decomposes into simultaneous eigenspaces (weight spaces) for *T*.

### Example: Adjoint representation of $SL_3(\mathbb{F}_4)$ on $\mathfrak{sl}_3$

Adjoint representation  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$  - traceless  $3 \times 3$  matrices with coefficients in k. Basis of eigenvectors for the conjugation action of T:

$$\{E_{ij}, E_{ii} - E_{i+1,i+1} : 1 \le i, j \le n, i \ne j\}$$

If n = 3, then  $T(\mathbb{F}_4)$  can't distinguish the eigenvalues of  $E_{12}$  and  $E_{23}$ . In fact, all root spaces look the same to  $T(\mathbb{F}_4)$  up to twisting by  $Gal(\mathbb{F}_4)$ .

Important and popular facts:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{H}^{i}(G(\mathbb{F}_{q}), V) & \hookrightarrow & \mathsf{H}^{i}(B(\mathbb{F}_{q}), V) = \mathsf{H}^{i}(U(\mathbb{F}_{q}), V)^{T(\mathbb{F}_{q})} \\ \mathsf{H}^{i}(G, V) & \cong & \mathsf{H}^{i}(B, V) = \mathsf{H}^{i}(U, V)^{T} \\ & \mathsf{H}^{i}(B_{r}, V) = \mathsf{H}^{i}(U_{r}, V)^{T_{r}} \end{aligned}$$

## Cline, Parshall, Scott (1975, 1977), Jones (1975)

Computed, for all p and q, the dimension of  $H^1(G(\mathbb{F}_q), L(\lambda))$  for  $\lambda$  a nonzero minimal dominant weight, i.e., a minuscule weight or a maximal short root.

- $L(\lambda)$  is the head of the Weyl module  $V(\lambda)$ .
- Lower bound: dim rad<sub>G</sub>  $V(\lambda) \leq \dim H^1(G(\mathbb{F}_q), L(\lambda))$
- Upper bound in terms of spaces of cocycles for root subgroups:

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \dim Z^1(U_{\alpha}(\mathbb{F}_q), L(\lambda))^{\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{F}_q)} - (\dim L(\lambda)^{\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{F}_q)} - \dim L(\lambda)^{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{F}_q)})$$

For  $\lambda$  a nonzero minimal dominant weight, dim H<sup>1</sup>( $G(\mathbb{F}_q), L(\lambda)$ )  $\leq 1$ , except for type  $D_{2n}$  with p = 2, where the dimension is sometimes 2.

## Avrunin (1978)

Suppose for all weights  $\mu$  of  $T(\mathbb{F}_q)$  in V and for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$  that  $\alpha \not\equiv \mu$ and  $(\alpha, \beta) \not\equiv \mu \mod \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Then  $H^2(G(\mathbb{F}_q), V) = 0$ .

#### Proof

Look at a central series for  $U(\mathbb{F}_q)$  where the factors are products of root subgroups to analyze the weights of  $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  in  $H^2(U(\mathbb{F}_q), V)$ . Use this to deduce that  $H^2(U(\mathbb{F}_q), V)^{\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{F}_q)} = 0$ , and hence  $H^2(G(\mathbb{F}_q), V) = 0$ .  $\Box$ 

## Corollary (Avrunin)

Suppose q > 4. Let  $\lambda \in X(T)_+$  be a nonzero minimal dominant weight. Then  $H^2(G(\mathbb{F}_q), L(\lambda)) = 0$ , except maybe type  $A_2$ , q = 5,  $\lambda \in \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$ .

## Cline, Parshall, Scott, van der Kallen (1977)

Let V be a finite-dimensional rational G-module, and let  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then for all sufficiently large e and q, the restriction map is an isomorphism

$$\mathsf{H}^{i}(G, V^{(e)}) \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{H}^{i}(G(\mathbb{F}_{q}), V^{(e)}).$$

So for H<sup>1</sup> and H<sup>2</sup>, we can get answers for  $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$  in terms of G-cohomology if we take q large, and if we sometimes also replace V by  $V^{(1)}$  or  $V^{(2)}$ .

Consider  $\operatorname{ind}_{\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{F}_{q})}^{\mathcal{G}}(-)$ . There exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \to k \to \operatorname{ind}_{G(\mathbb{F}_q)}^G(k) \to N \to 0.$$

Let M be a rational G-module. Obtain the new short exact sequence

$$0 \to M \to \mathrm{ind}_{G(\mathbb{F}_q)}^G(M) \to M \otimes N \to 0.$$

Now using  $\operatorname{Ext}^n_G(k, \operatorname{ind}^G_{G(\mathbb{F}_q)}(M)) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^n_{G(\mathbb{F}_q)}(k, M)$ , we get:

#### Long exact sequence for restriction

### Bendel, Nakano, Pillen (2010)

 $\operatorname{ind}_{G(\mathbb{F}_q)}^G(k)$  admits a filtration by G-submodules with sections of the form

$$H^0(\mu)\otimes H^0(\mu^*)^{(r)}$$
  $\mu\in X(T)_+.$ 

Corollary:  $N = \operatorname{coker}(k \to \operatorname{ind}_{G(\mathbb{F}_q)}^G(k))$  admits such a filtration with  $\mu \neq 0$ .

Then  $\operatorname{Ext}_{G}^{i}(k, L(\lambda) \otimes N) = 0$  if it is zero for each section, i.e., if for  $\mu \neq 0$ ,

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{G}^{i}(V(\mu)^{(r)}, L(\lambda) \otimes H^{0}(\mu)) = 0.$$

## 30,000 ft (9,144 m) view of our strategy

 $H^{i}(G(\mathbb{F}_{a}), L(\lambda))$ 1 Induction  $H^{i}(G, \operatorname{ind}_{G(\mathbb{F}_{q})}^{G} L(\lambda))$ ↑ Filtrations  $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^{i}(V(\mu)^{(r)}, L(\lambda) \otimes H^{0}(\mu))$ ↑ Spectral Sequences  $\operatorname{Ext}_{G/G_r}^i(V(\mu)^{(r)},\operatorname{Ext}_{G_r}^j(k,L(\lambda)\otimes H^0(\mu)))$ ↑ Spectral Sequences  $R^{i}$  ind  $_{B/B_{r}}^{G/G_{r}}$  Ext $_{B_{r}}^{j}(k, L(\lambda) \otimes \mu)$ ↑ Weight combinatorics  $\operatorname{Ext}_{II}^{j}(k, L(\lambda))$ 

## Isomorphism theorem for first cohomology

Let  $\lambda \in X_r(T)$ . Suppose  $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{U_r}(k, L(\lambda))$  is semisimple as a  $B/U_r$ -module, and that  $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{U_r}(k, L(\lambda))^{T(\mathbb{F}_q)} = \operatorname{Ext}^1_{U_r}(k, L(\lambda))^T$ . Then

 $\mathrm{H}^{1}(G, L(\lambda)) \cong \mathrm{H}^{1}(G(\mathbb{F}_{q}), L(\lambda)).$ 

#### Isomorphism theorem for first cohomology

Let  $\lambda \in X_r(T)$ . Suppose  $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{U_r}(k, L(\lambda))$  is semisimple as a  $B/U_r$ -module, and that  $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{U_r}(k, L(\lambda))^{T(\mathbb{F}_q)} = \operatorname{Ext}^1_{U_r}(k, L(\lambda))^T$ . Then

 $\mathrm{H}^{1}(G, L(\lambda)) \cong \mathrm{H}^{1}(G(\mathbb{F}_{q}), L(\lambda)).$ 

#### Isomorphism theorem for second cohomology

Let  $\lambda \in X_r(T)$ . Suppose  $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{U_r}(k, L(\lambda))$  is semisimple as a  $B/U_r$ -module, that  $\operatorname{Ext}^i_{U_r}(k, L(\lambda))^{T(\mathbb{F}_q)} = \operatorname{Ext}^i_{U_r}(k, L(\lambda))^T$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , and that

$$p^r > \max\left\{-(
u, \gamma^ee) : \gamma \in \Delta, \; \operatorname{Ext}^1_{U_r}(k, L(\lambda))_
u 
eq 0
ight\}.$$

Then  $\mathrm{H}^{2}(G, L(\lambda)) \cong \mathrm{H}^{2}(G(\mathbb{F}_{q}), L(\lambda)).$ 

**Theorem 3.2.4.** Suppose  $\lambda \in X(T)_+$  is a dominant root or is less than or equal to a fundamental weight. Assume that p > 5 if  $\Phi$  is of type  $E_8$  or  $G_2$ , and p > 3 otherwise. Then as a  $B/U_r$ -module,  $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{U_r}(L(\lambda), k) = \operatorname{soc}_{B/U_r} \operatorname{Ext}^1_{U_r}(L(\lambda), k)$ , that is,

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{U_{r}}(L(\lambda),k) \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} -s_{\alpha} \cdot \lambda \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta \\ 0 < n < r}} -(\lambda - p^{n}\alpha) \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{\sigma \in X(T)_{+} \\ \sigma < \lambda}} (-\sigma)^{\oplus m_{\sigma}}$$

where  $m_{\sigma} = \dim \operatorname{Ext}_{G}^{1}(L(\lambda), H^{0}(\sigma)).$ 

- Determine the socle using Andersen's results on  $\text{Ext}^1_B(L(\lambda), \mu)$ .
- Get an injection Ext<sup>1</sup><sub>U<sub>r</sub></sub>(L(λ), k) → Q into the injective hull of the socle. Then show that soc<sub>B/U<sub>r</sub></sub> Ext<sup>1</sup><sub>U<sub>r</sub></sub>(L(λ), k) = Ext<sup>1</sup><sub>U<sub>r</sub></sub>(L(λ), k) by showing that no weight from the second socle layer of Q can be a weight of Ext<sup>1</sup><sub>U<sub>r</sub></sub>(L(λ), k).

#### First Cohomology Main Theorem

Let  $\lambda \in X(\mathcal{T})_+$  be a fundamental dominant weight. Assume q>3 and

$$\begin{array}{ll} p>2 & \text{if } \Phi \text{ has type } A_n, \ D_n; \\ p>3 & \text{if } \Phi \text{ has type } B_n, \ C_n, \ E_6, \ E_7, \ F_4, \ G_2; \\ p>5 & \text{if } \Phi \text{ has type } E_8. \end{array}$$

Then dim H<sup>1</sup>( $G(\mathbb{F}_q), L(\lambda)$ ) = dim H<sup>1</sup>( $G, L(\lambda)$ )  $\leq 1$ .

The spaces are nonzero (and one-dimensional) in the following cases:

- $\Phi$  has type  $E_7$ , p = 7, and  $\lambda = \omega_6$ ; and
- $\Phi$  has type  $C_n$ ,  $n \ge 3$ , and  $\lambda = \omega_j$  with  $\frac{j}{2}$  a nonzero term in the *p*-adic expansion of n + 1, but not the last term in the expansion.

## Second Cohomology Main Theorem A

Suppose p > 3 and q > 5. Let  $\lambda \in X(T)_+$  be less than or equal to a fundamental dominant weight. Assume also that  $\lambda$  is not a dominant root. Then  $H^2(G, L(\lambda)) \cong H^2(G(\mathbb{F}_q), L(\lambda))$ .

#### Corollary

Suppose  $p, q, \lambda$  are as above. Then  $H^2(G(\mathbb{F}_q), L(\lambda)) = 0$  except possibly in a small number of explicit cases in exceptional types, and except possibly in type  $C_n$  when  $\lambda = \omega_j$  with j even and  $p \leq n$ .

#### Second Cohomology Main Theorem B

Let p > 3 and q > 5. Let  $\lambda = \tilde{\alpha}$  be the highest root. Assume  $p \nmid n+1$  in type  $A_n$ , and  $p \nmid n-1$  in type  $B_n$ . Then  $L(\lambda) = H^0(\lambda) = \mathfrak{g}$ , and

 $\mathsf{H}^2(G(\mathbb{F}_q),\mathfrak{g})=k.$ 

Also have  $H^{2}(SL_{3}(\mathbb{F}_{5}), L(\omega_{1})) = H^{2}(SL_{3}(\mathbb{F}_{5}), L(\omega_{2})) = k$ .

Different strategy in these cases for analyzing the long exact sequence:

Our original commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{H}^{1}(G, L(\lambda)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathsf{H}^{1}(B, L(\lambda)) \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \\ \mathsf{H}^{1}(G(\mathbb{F}_{q}), L(\lambda)) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{H}^{1}(B(\mathbb{F}_{q}), L(\lambda)). \end{array}$$

Our original commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{H}^{1}(G, L(\lambda)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathsf{H}^{1}(B, L(\lambda)) \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \\ \mathsf{H}^{1}(G(\mathbb{F}_{q}), L(\lambda)) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{H}^{1}(B(\mathbb{F}_{q}), L(\lambda)). \end{array}$$

New diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{H}^{1}(G, L(\lambda)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathsf{H}^{1}(B, L(\lambda)) \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathsf{H}^{1}(G(\mathbb{F}_{q}), L(\lambda)) & \stackrel{\leftarrow}{\longrightarrow} & \mathsf{H}^{1}(U(\mathbb{F}_{q}), L(\lambda))^{\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{F}_{q})} & \qquad \mathsf{H}^{1}(U_{1}, L(\lambda))^{\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{F}_{q})}. \end{array}$$

#### Lemma

Suppose 
$$p > 2$$
 and  $\lambda \in X_1(T)$ . Then  $H^1(B, L(\lambda)) \hookrightarrow H^1(U_1, L(\lambda))^{T(\mathbb{F}_q)}$ .

## Proof

LHS spectral sequence for  $B/B_1$  combined with  $\text{Ext}^1_B(k, L(\lambda))$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{H}^{1}(G, L(\lambda)) & & \sim & & \to \mathsf{H}^{1}(B, L(\lambda)) \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ \mathsf{H}^{1}(G(\mathbb{F}_{q}), L(\lambda))^{\subset} & & \mathsf{H}^{1}(U(\mathbb{F}_{q}), L(\lambda))^{T(\mathbb{F}_{q})} & & & \mathsf{H}^{1}(U_{1}, L(\lambda))^{T(\mathbb{F}_{q})}. \end{array}$$

Recall:

- $U(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is filtered by its lower central series.
- $kU(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is filtered by the powers of its augmentation ideal.

Theorem (Lazard)

gr  $U(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is naturally a *p*-restricted Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

Theorem (Quillen)

There exists a natural isomorphism gr  $kU(\mathbb{F}_q) \cong u(\text{gr } U(\mathbb{F}_q) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k).$ 

## Lin, Nakano (1999), Friedlander (2010)

There exists a natural isomorphism gr  $kU(\mathbb{F}_q) \cong u(\mathfrak{u}^{\oplus r})$ .

If *M* is a rational *B*-module, then there exists a (weight) filtration on *M* such that gr *M* is naturally a  $u(\mathfrak{u}^{\oplus r})$ -module. The restriction of gr *M* to the first (or any) factor  $\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{u}^{\oplus r}$  identifies with  $M|_{\mathfrak{u}}$  (equivalently, with  $M|_{U_1}$ ).

Consequence: There exists a May spectral sequence

$$\mathsf{E}_1^{i,j} = \mathsf{H}^{i+j}(u(\mathfrak{u}^{\oplus r}), \operatorname{gr} M)_{(i)} \Rightarrow \mathsf{H}^{i+j}(U(\mathbb{F}_q), M).$$

Upshot: There exist vector space maps

$$\mathsf{H}^{1}(U(\mathbb{F}_{q}), M) \longrightarrow \mathsf{H}^{1}(u(\mathfrak{u}^{\oplus r}), \operatorname{gr} M)^{\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{F}_{q})} \stackrel{\operatorname{res}}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{H}^{1}(U_{1}, M)^{\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{F}_{q})}.$$

Apply results of Parshall and Scott on filtered algebras, and spectral sequence and weight arguments, to conclude that the new diagram commutes and that the bottom row consists of injections:

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{H}^{1}(G, L(\lambda)) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{H}^{1}(U, L(\lambda))^{T} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathsf{H}^{1}(G(\mathbb{F}_{q}), L(\lambda)) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{H}^{1}(U(\mathbb{F}_{q}), L(\lambda))^{T(\mathbb{F}_{q})} & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{H}^{1}(U_{1}, L(\lambda))^{T(\mathbb{F}_{q})} \end{array}$$

Apply results of Parshall and Scott on filtered algebras, and spectral sequence and weight arguments, to conclude that the new diagram commutes and that the bottom row consists of injections:

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{H}^{1}(G, L(\lambda)) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{H}^{1}(U, L(\lambda))^{T} \\ \downarrow & & & \downarrow \\ \mathsf{H}^{1}(G(\mathbb{F}_{q}), L(\lambda)) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{H}^{1}(U(\mathbb{F}_{q}), L(\lambda))^{T}(\mathbb{F}_{q}) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{H}^{1}(U_{1}, L(\lambda))^{T}(\mathbb{F}_{q}) \end{array}$$

#### Theorem

Suppose p > 2, q > 3, and  $\lambda \in X_1(T)$ . Then

$$\dim \mathsf{H}^1(U_1, L(\lambda))^{\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{F}_q)} = \dim \mathsf{H}^1(U_1, L(\lambda))^{\mathcal{T}} = \dim \mathsf{H}^1(G, L(\lambda)).$$

Hence,  $H^1(G, L(\lambda)) \cong H^1(G(\mathbb{F}_q), L(\lambda))$ .

### Open Question about cohomology for $Sp_{2n}$

# For $p \leq n$ , what is $H^2(G, L(\omega_j))$ , and hence $H^2(G(\mathbb{F}_q), L(\omega_j))$ , for j even?

## Open Question about cohomology for $Sp_{2n}$

For  $p \leq n$ , what is  $H^2(G, L(\omega_j))$ , and hence  $H^2(G(\mathbb{F}_q), L(\omega_j))$ , for j even?

Values of *n* and *j* for which  $H^2(Sp_{2n}, L(\omega_j))$  is 1-dimensional, p = 3.

п	j	п	j	п	j	п	j
6	6	15	6, 8	24	6, 8, 18	33	6, 8, 18
7	6	16	6,10	25	6, 10, 18	34	6, 10, 18
8		17		26		35	
9	6	18	6,14	27	6, 14	36	6,14
10	6	19	6,16	28	6, 16	37	6,16
11		20	18	29	18	38	18
12	6	21	6, 18	30	6, 18	39	6, 18, 20
13	6	22	6,18	31	6, 18	40	6, 18, 22
14		23	18	32	18		

Values of *n* and *j* for which  $H^2(Sp_{2n}, L(\omega_j))$  is 1-dimensional, p = 5.

n	j	п	j	п	j	п	j	п	j
10	10	20	10	30	10	40	10, 22	50	10, 42
11	10	21	10	31	10	41	10, 24	51	10, 44
12	10	22	10	32	10	42	10, 26	52	10, 46
13	10	23	10	33	10	43	10, 28	53	10, 48
14		24		34		44		54	50
15	10	25	10	35	10, 12	45	10, 32		
16	10	26	10	36	10, 14	46	10, 34		
17	10	27	10	37	10, 16	47	10, 36		
18	10	28	10	38	10, 18	48	10, 38		
19		29		39		49			

- Are these cohomology groups always at most one-dimensional?
- Can the non-vanishing be described *p*-adically in terms of *n* and *j*?