

**Historical note:** Let  $G$  be a finite group.

Atiyah (ca. 1960): There is an isomorphism

$$R(G)_I^\wedge \longrightarrow KU(BG),$$

where  $R(G)$  is the complex representation ring and  $I$  is the kernel of the augmentation

$$R(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}, \quad V \mapsto \dim(V).$$

Segal conjectured that the analogous result holds for stable cohomotopy.

**Segal conjecture (weak form):** There is an isomorphism

$$A(G)_I^\wedge \longrightarrow \pi_S^0(BG_+) := \{BG_+, S^0\},$$

where  $A(G)$  is the Burnside ring of finite  $G$ -sets, and  $I$  is the kernel of the augmentation

$$A(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}, \quad X \mapsto |X|.$$

Lin: Proved conjecture for  $G = \mathbf{Z}/2$ .

Gunawardena:  $G = \mathbf{Z}/p$ ,  $p$  odd prime.

Ravenel: General finite cyclic groups.

Carlsson: Elementary abelian 2-groups.

Adams-Gunawardena-Miller:

Odd elementary abelian groups.

May-McClure: Reduce question to finite  $p$ -groups.

Carlsson: Uses A-G-M result and induction to prove  $p$ -group case.

Lewis-May-McClure: extended result to maps between classifying spaces. That is, replace  $S^0$  by  $BG'$ .

## **“Double Burnside modules”**

$\text{Mor}(G, G') :=$  Set of isomorphism classes of finite sets with right  $G$ -action and free left  $G'$ -action such that the actions commute.

$\text{Mor}(G, G')$  is a monoid under disjoint union.

$A(G, G') :=$  Grothendieck group completion of  $\text{Mor}(G, G')$ .

$A(G, G')$  is a free module with one basis element  $[H, \varphi]_G^{G'}$  for each conjugacy class of pairs  $(H, \varphi)$ , where  $H \leq G$ ,  $\varphi: H \rightarrow G'$ .

Conjugacy is taken in both source and target. That is  $(H_1, \varphi_1) \sim (H_2, \varphi_2)$  if and only if  $\exists g \in G, \exists g' \in G'$  s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & \varphi_1(H_1) \\ \cong \downarrow c_g & & \cong \downarrow c_{g'} \\ H_2 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} & \varphi_2(H_2). \end{array}$$

The basis element  $[H, \varphi]_G^{G'}$  corresponds to the biset

$$G \times G' / \Delta_H^\varphi,$$

where

$$\Delta_H^\varphi = \{(h, \varphi(h)) \mid h \in H\},$$

and actions are given by

$$b(x, y)a = (a^{-1}x, by),$$

for  $a, x \in G$  and  $b, y \in G'$ .

The modules  $A(G, G')$  form the morphism sets in a pre-additive category  $\underline{A}$ , whose objects are the finite groups, and where composition is given by

$$A(G', G'') \times A(G, G') \longrightarrow A(G, G''),$$

$$(\Omega', \Omega) \mapsto \Omega' \circ \Omega := \Omega' \times_{G'} \Omega.$$

This can be described on basis elements by the double coset formula:

$$[H', \varphi']_{G'}^{G''} \circ [H, \varphi]_G^{G'}$$

$$= \sum_{x \in H' \backslash G' / \varphi(H)} [\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(H) \cap H'^x), \varphi' \circ c_x \circ \varphi]_G^{G''}.$$

In particular,  $A(G, G)$  is a ring, called the *double Burnside ring* of  $G$ .

There is also a pairing

$$A(G, 1) \times A(G, G') \longrightarrow A(G, G')$$

$$(X, \Omega) \mapsto X \cdot \Omega := X \times \Omega$$

where  $G$  acts via the diagonal, and  $G'$  acts on the second coordinate.

This makes  $A(G) = A(G, 1)$  into a ring, called the *Burnside ring* of  $G$ , which acts on  $A(G, G')$ .

We have an “augmentation functor”

$$\epsilon : \underline{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

defined on  $(G, G')$ -bisets by

$$\epsilon(\Omega) = |\Omega/G'|.$$

Functoriality means composition is sent to multiplication.

Note that

$$\epsilon([H, \varphi]_G^{G'}) = \left| \left( \frac{G \times G'}{\Delta_H^\varphi} \right) / G' \right| = |G/H|.$$

On  $A(G)$ , this gives the augmentation. We let  $I(G)$  be the augmentation ideal

$$I(G) = \ker(\epsilon : A(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, X \mapsto |X|).$$



We are interested in the functor

$$\alpha : \underline{A} \longrightarrow \text{Spectra}$$

acting on objects by

$$G \mapsto \mathbb{B}G_+,$$

where

$$\mathbb{B}G_+ := \Sigma^\infty(BG_+) \simeq \Sigma^\infty BG \vee \mathbb{S}^0,$$

and acting on morphisms by

$$[H, \varphi]_G^{G'} \mapsto \mathbb{B}\varphi_+ \circ tr_H,$$

$$\mathbb{B}G_+ \xrightarrow{tr_H} \mathbb{B}H_+ \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}\varphi_+} \mathbb{B}G'_+.$$

Lewis-May-McClure showed that the following is a consequence of Carlsson's proof of the Segal conjecture.

**Theorem** (Segal conjecture).  $\alpha$  induces an isomorphism

$$A(G, G')_I^\wedge \longrightarrow \{BG_+, BG'_+\},$$

where  $I = I(G)$  is the augmentation ideal of the Burnside ring  $A(G)$ .

With the appropriate definition of  $A(G, G')$ , we can let  $G'$  be a compact Lie group.

The  $I(G)$ -adic completion can be difficult to calculate. However, in the special case where  $G$  is a  $p$ -group, May-McMclure noticed that the  $I(G)$ -adic topology coincides with the  $p$ -adic topology, at least after removing basepoints.

Recall that  $\Sigma_+^\infty BG' \simeq \Sigma^\infty BG' \vee \mathbb{S}^0$ . We have

$$\{BG, BG'\} \cong \{BG_+, BG'_+\} / \{BG_+, \mathbb{S}^0\}.$$

Put

$$\tilde{A}(G, G') := A(G, G') / \langle [H, \text{triv}]_G^{G'} \mid H \leq G' \rangle.$$

Then we get an induced natural map

$$\alpha : \tilde{A}(G, G') \longrightarrow \{BG, BG'\}.$$

**Theorem** (Lewis-May-McClure, Carlsson). *Let  $S$  be a finite  $p$ -group and  $G'$  be a finite group. Then  $\alpha$  induces an isomorphism*

$$\tilde{A}(S, G')_p^\wedge \longrightarrow \{BS, BG'\},$$

where  $(-)_p^\wedge = \mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge \otimes (-)$  is  $p$ -adic completion.

Again,  $G'$  can be a compact Lie group.

Let  $A_p(G, G')$  be the submodule of  $A(G, G')$  generated by  $(G, G')$ -bisets whose  $G$ -isotropy groups are  $p$ -groups. We have

$$A_p(G, G') = \langle [P, \varphi]_G^{G'} \mid P \leq G \text{ } p\text{-subgroup} \rangle.$$

**Theorem (KR).** *For finite groups  $G$  and  $G'$ ,  $\alpha$  induces an isomorphism*

$$\tilde{A}_p(G, G')_p^\wedge \longrightarrow \{BG_p^\wedge, BG'\}.$$

Again,  $G'$  can be a compact Lie group.

Since

$$\mathbb{B}G \simeq \bigvee_p \mathbb{B}G_p^\wedge,$$

we get the following consequence.

**Corollary** (KR). *For finite groups  $G$  and  $G'$ ,  $\alpha$  induces an isomorphism*

$$\bigoplus_p \tilde{A}_p(G, G')_p^\wedge \rightarrow \bigoplus_p \{BG_p^\wedge, BG'\} \cong \{BG, BG'\}.$$

This is arguably a simpler description of  $\{BG, BG'\}$  than the  $I(G)$ -adic completion.

The map in the statement is actually the  $p$ -completion of the composite

$$\tilde{A}_p(G, G') \xrightarrow{\alpha} \{BG, BG'\} \xrightarrow{\iota_p^*} \{BG_p^\wedge, BG'\},$$

where

$$\iota_p: \mathbb{B}G_p^\wedge \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}G$$

is the natural wedge-summand inclusion obtained from the natural splitting  $\mathbb{B}G \simeq \bigvee_q \mathbb{B}G_q^\wedge$

Note that the target is  $p$ -complete since

$$\{BG_p^\wedge, BG'\} \cong \bigoplus_q \{BG_p^\wedge, BG_q'^\wedge\} \cong \{BG_p^\wedge, BG_p'^\wedge\},$$

Pick a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $S$  of  $G$ .

Let  $R$  be the operation restricting  $(G, G')$ -bisets to  $(S, G')$ -bisets. This is linear, and we have a homomorphism

$$R : A(G, G') \longrightarrow A(S, G'),$$

$$\Omega \mapsto \Omega \times_G [G]_S^G = \Omega \circ [S, \iota_S]_S^G,$$

where  $[G]_S^G$  is  $G$  regarded as a  $(S, G)$ -biset.

Applying  $\alpha$  we get the homomorphism

$$R : \{BG_p^\wedge, BG'\} \longrightarrow \{BS, BG'\},$$

$$f \mapsto f \circ \mathbb{B}\iota_S.$$

Let  $T$  be the operation inducing a  $(G, G')$ -biset from a  $(S, G')$ -biset. This gives a homomorphism

$$T : A(S, G') \longrightarrow A(G, G'),$$

$$\Omega \mapsto \Omega \times_S [G]_G^S = \Omega \circ [S, id]_G^S,$$

where  $[G]_G^S$  is  $G$  regarded as a  $(G, S)$ -biset.

Applying  $\alpha$  we get a homomorphism

$$T : \{BS, BG'\} \longrightarrow \{BG_p^\wedge, BG'\},$$

$$f \mapsto f \circ tr_S.$$



Since

$$\mathbb{B}\iota_S \circ tr_S : \mathbb{B}G_p^\wedge \rightarrow \mathbb{B}G_p^\wedge$$

acts as multiplication by  $|G/S|$  in  $H^*(-; \mathbb{F}_p)$ , it is a homotopy equivalence.

Therefore,

$$T \circ R : \{BG_p^\wedge, BG'\} \longrightarrow \{BG_p^\wedge, BG'\}$$

is an isomorphism.

We now have a (partial) commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R \circ T(\tilde{A}(S, G')_p^\wedge) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\alpha} & R \circ T(\{BS, BG'\}) \\
 \uparrow R & & \uparrow R \cong \\
 & & T(\{BS, BG'\}) \\
 & & \uparrow = \\
 \tilde{A}_p(G, G')_p^\wedge & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \{BG_p^\wedge, BG'\}.
 \end{array}$$

If we can show that  $R$  restricts to a map as indicated by the dashed arrow on the left side of the diagram, and that the restriction is an isomorphism, we can conclude that the bottom  $\alpha$  in the diagram is an isomorphism, completing the proof.

We prove this “before taking quotients”. That is, we show that  $R$  restricts to an isomorphism

$$A_p(G, G')_p^\wedge \xrightarrow[\cong]{} R \circ T(A(S, G')_p^\wedge)$$

It is easy to check that the isomorphism “survives” to  $\tilde{A}$ .

$A_p(G, G')_p^\wedge$  has basis

$$\{[P_i, \varphi_i]_G^{G'} \mid i \in J\}.$$

By Sylow's theorems (and since  $P_i$  is only determined up to conjugacy) we may assume that  $P_i \leq S$ . We can then consider

$$C = \{[P_i, \varphi_i]_S^{G'} \mid i \in J\}$$

and

$$M_C = \langle [P_i, \varphi_i]_S^{G'} \mid i \in J \rangle \subset A_p(S, G')_p^\wedge.$$

Note that  $T$  maps  $[P_i, \varphi_i]_S^{G'}$  to  $[P_i, \varphi_i]_G^{G'}$ . Therefore  $T$  maps the basis  $C$  of  $M_C$  to a basis of  $A_p(G, G')_p^\wedge$ , and we have an isomorphism

$$T : M_C \longrightarrow A_p(G, G')_p^\wedge.$$

As illustrated on the diagram on the next page, we can conclude that  $R$  induces a map

$$R : A_p(G, G')_p^\wedge \longrightarrow R \circ T(A_p(S, G')_p^\wedge).$$

Consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 M_C & \xrightarrow{R \circ T} & R \circ T(M_C) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & R \circ T(A(S, G')_p^\wedge) \\
 & \searrow \cong T & \uparrow R & & \nearrow R \\
 & & A_p(G, G')_p^\wedge & & 
 \end{array}$$

We are trying to show that the map  $R$  represented by the dashed arrow (which we now see does exist) is an isomorphism. Looking at the diagram we see that it suffices to show that the maps  $R \circ T$  and  $\iota$  are isomorphisms.

$R \circ T$  is an isomorphism:

We say  $[Q, \psi]_S^{G'} \simeq [P, \varphi]_S^{G'}$  if there exist  $g \in G$  and  $g' \in G'$  making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \psi(Q) \\ \downarrow c_g & & \downarrow c_{g'} \\ P & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \varphi(P). \end{array}$$

This is a transitive relation.

Say  $[Q, \psi]_S^{G'} \sim [P, \varphi]_S^{G'}$  if

$$[Q, \psi]_S^{G'} \simeq [P, \varphi]_S^{G'} \text{ and } [P, \varphi]_S^{G'} \simeq [Q, \psi]_S^{G'}.$$

This is an equivalence relation, and  $C$  is a set of representatives for equivalence classes.

Say  $[Q, \psi]_S^{G'} \not\sim [P, \varphi]_S^{G'}$  if

$$[Q, \psi]_S^{G'} \simeq [P, \varphi]_S^{G'} \text{ but not } [P, \varphi]_S^{G'} \sim [Q, \psi]_S^{G'}.$$

The subconjugacy relation gives us two filtrations of  $A_p(\mathcal{S}, G')_p^\wedge$  as follows.

Put

$$M(\lesssim [P, \varphi]) = \langle [Q, \psi] \lesssim [P, \varphi] \rangle,$$

and

$$M(\lesssim [P, \varphi]) = \langle [Q, \psi] \lesssim [P, \varphi] \rangle.$$

**Lemma.**  $R \circ T$  preserves these filtrations. That is

$$R \circ T(M(\simeq [P, \varphi])) \subset M(\simeq [P, \varphi])$$

and

$$R \circ T(M(\not\sim [P, \varphi])) \subset M(\not\sim [P, \varphi]).$$

*Proof.* Have

$$R \circ T([Q, \psi]_S^{G'}) = [Q, \psi]_S^{G'} \circ [S, id]_G^S \circ [S, \iota_S]_S^G.$$

Use double coset formula. □



**Lemma.**  $R \circ T$  preserves stratification. That is

$$R \circ T([P, \varphi]) \in M(\simeq [P, \varphi]) \setminus M(\prec [P, \varphi]).$$

*Proof.*

$$\epsilon(R \circ T([P, \varphi])) = \epsilon([P, \varphi]) \cdot \epsilon([G]_S^S) = \frac{|G|}{|S|} \cdot \epsilon([P, \varphi]),$$

but

$$\epsilon(M(\prec [P, \varphi])) = p \cdot \epsilon([P, \varphi])\mathbb{Z},$$

and  $p \nmid \frac{|G|}{|S|}$ . □

We can now regard  $R \circ T$  as an upper triangular matrix with nonzero entries on the diagonal on  $M_C$ . Hence we get an isomorphism

$$R \circ T : M_C \longrightarrow R \circ T(M_C).$$

$\iota$  is iso: Suffices to show surjectivity.

Every basis element  $[P, \varphi]_S^{G'}$  of  $A_p(S, G')^\wedge$  is  $(G, G')$ -conjugate to some  $[P_i, \varphi_i]_S^{G'} \in C$ .

Now

$$T([P, \varphi]_S^{G'}) = [P, \varphi]_G^{G'} = [P_i, \varphi_i]_G^{G'} = T([P_i, \varphi_i]_S^{G'}),$$

so

$$R \circ T([P, \varphi]_S^{G'}) = R \circ T([P_i, \varphi_i]_S^{G'}).$$

This completes the proof.